## **EXHIBIT D**

	<del>Case 3:09-cv-00620-REP</del>	Document 740-4	Tiled	<del>06/03/11 Page 2 of 4 PageID# 21349 3</del>
		1		•
			00:22:38	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT	00:22:39	
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION		00:22:55	
3	RICHMOND DIVISION		00:29:23	
5			00:29:26	, , ,
6	ePLUS, INC. :	Civil Action No.	00:29:30	,
7	vs.	3:09CV620	00:29:33	
8	LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC. :	July 28, 2010	00:29:35	
9			00:29:36	
11	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF THE MOTIONS HEARING		00:29:41	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE		00:29:43	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUD	GE	00:29:47	
14	APPEARANCES:		00:29:50 14	
15	Scott L. Robertson, Esquire		00:29:51	
16 17	Michael G. Strapp, Esquire Jennifer A. Albert, Esquire Goodwin Procter, LLP		00:29:53	
18	901 New York Avenue NW Suite 900		00:29:57	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
19	Washington, D.C. 20001		00:30:02	
20	Craig T. Merritt, Esquire Christian & Barton, LLP 909 East Main Street		00:30:07	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
21	Suite 1200 Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095		00:30:09 20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22	Counsel for the plaintiff		00:30:49 21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	Peppy Peterson, RPR		00:30:58 22	, , ,
25	Official Court Reporter United States District Cou	rt	00:30:59 23	, ,
			00:31:02 24	
			00:31:08 25	,
		2	00.31.00	4
1	APPEARANCES: (cont'g)		00:31:19	
			00:31:19	what it is?
1	APPEARANCES: (cont'g)  Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire  Troutman Sanders, LLP		00:31:19	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.
	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire		00:31:19	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.
2	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP		00:31:19 <b>2</b> 00:31:20 <b>3</b> 00:31:25 <b>4</b>	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.
2	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?
2	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for
2 3 4 5	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:32 7	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for
2 3 4	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:36 8	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a
2 3 4 5	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:32 7 00:31:36 8	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right
2 3 4 5 6 7	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:36 8 00:31:41 9	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on
2 3 4 5	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:30 7 00:31:36 8 00:31:41 10 00:31:44 11	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:32 7 00:31:34 9 00:31:41 10 00:31:48 11 00:31:51 12	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:30 7 00:31:36 8 00:31:41 10 00:31:44 11	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:32 7 00:31:36 8 00:31:41 10 00:31:44 11 00:31:48 11 00:31:51 12	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:32 7 00:31:34 1 00:31:44 1 00:31:44 1 00:31:45 1 00:31:55 1 00:31:59 14	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:32 7 00:31:34 9 00:31:44 10 00:31:44 11 00:31:51 12 00:31:59 15	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:30 7 00:31:34 10 00:31:41 10 00:31:48 11 00:31:51 12 00:31:59 14 00:31:59 15 00:32:01 16	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:30 7 00:31:31 1 00:31:41 10 00:31:41 11 00:31:41 12 00:31:51 12 00:31:51 12 00:31:51 12 00:31:51 12 00:31:52 13	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what is to be argued.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:30 7 00:31:34 10 00:31:44 11 00:31:48 11 00:31:59 14 00:31:59 14 00:32:01 16 00:32:04 17 00:32:25 18	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for  summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for  you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a  lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right  to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what is to be argued.  MR. McDONALD: That was just a fast ball, not a curve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:30 7 00:31:31 7 00:31:34 10 00:31:41 10 00:31:45 13 00:31:59 14 00:31:59 15 00:32:01 16 00:32:01 16 00:32:25 18 00:32:29 19 00:32:30 20 00:32:34 21	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what is to be argued.  MR. McDONALD: That was just a fast ball, not a curve ball.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:25 5 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:31 7 00:31:32 7 00:31:34 10 00:31:41 11 00:31:51 12 00:31:59 14 00:32:01 16 00:32:04 17 00:32:25 18 00:32:29 15 00:32:30 20	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what is to be argued.  MR. McDONALD: That was just a fast ball, not a curve ball.  THE COURT: I don't know. Maybe that was a slider.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:31 7 00:31:32 7 00:31:34 10 00:31:44 10 00:31:45 11 00:31:51 12 00:31:59 15 00:32:01 16 00:32:04 17 00:32:25 18 00:32:29 15 00:32:30 20 00:32:34 21 00:32:35 22 00:32:38 23	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what is to be argued.  MR. McDONALD: That was just a fast ball, not a curve ball.  THE COURT: I don't know. Maybe that was a slider.  All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esquire Troutman Sanders, LLP 1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219  Daniel W. McDonald, Esquire Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esquire Merchant & Gould, PC 80 South Eighth Street Suite 3200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		00:31:19 2 00:31:20 3 00:31:25 4 00:31:25 4 00:31:27 5 00:31:30 6 00:31:31 7 00:31:32 7 00:31:34 10 00:31:41 11 00:31:51 12 00:31:59 14 00:31:59 15 00:32:01 16 00:32:01 17 00:32:29 15 00:32:29 15 00:32:30 20 00:32:34 21	what it is?  MR. McDONALD: That's one of them, Your Honor.  There's one, two, and three all related to the damages issue.  THE COURT: I mean the settlement.  MR. McDONALD: That's where you want to start?  THE COURT: No, we'll start with the motion for summary judgment, but I would like somebody to I'd like for you to address that. I don't want a lot of we don't have a lot of time to do this, and we've got a lot to do, so get right to the point. All right, the motion for summary judgment on the written description.  MR. McDONALD: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have some binders with PowerPoint slides I'd like to hand up.  THE COURT: Is that a question of law or fact?  MR. McDONALD: It's a question of fact, but the facts are undisputed. Can I hand up the binders?  THE COURT: I'm sorry, it's in paragraph four of what is to be argued.  MR. McDONALD: That was just a fast ball, not a curve ball.  THE COURT: I don't know. Maybe that was a slider.  All right.  MR. McDONALD: Is the PowerPoint visible on the

thinking the thing through as if logic dictated that these things follow one unto the other. That isn't exactly how the trial of the case works. We're looking at whether or not it's probative in your case. All right. Anything else that you wish to say on any of these things? MS. STOLL-DeBELL: I don't think so, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROBERTSON: One point on that last issue, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes, since you have the burden on the motion. MR. ROBERTSON: If it's all the same and 8.0.3 doesn't infringe, they don't willfully infringe, what's the relevance of 5.0 and 6.0? THE COURT: Because they looked at 5.0 and 6.0 which you didn't accuse of infringing, so they didn't think they were infringing, and they thought you were all wet. MR. ROBERTSON: If it hasn't changed, what they're saying is then it's invalidated because it predates the patents. If they're not infringing now, they're not willfully infringing, it makes the relevance of 5.0 and 6.0 that they didn't infringe even earlier pre-attenuated and marginal in my view. Thank you. THE COURT: This motion is going to be granted. This

183

184

to come up with. That's going to come up with what you call an objection, and it's going to be sustained most likely. You've got to realize that you've got to try cases in the order that the issues are presented.

Now, this is something that you need to raise, so the question is, can you put in evidence probative of your state of mind that when you got the lawsuit, you didn't think you were infringing with the new 8.0.3 because it was just like all the others and they didn't infringe.

16 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Yes. 06:53:10

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

06:52:32

06:52:35

06:52:43

06:52:46

06:52:47

06:52:50

06:53:01 06:53:08 15

06:53:14 17

06:53:37 25

06:53:18

THE COURT: So you have to then, you say, offer proof that those earlier ones didn't infringe in order to be able to make that argument; right?

06:53:21 19 06:53:23 **20** MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Right, but I think it's all tied 06:53:25 21 in to we don't think any of it infringes because it's all the 06:53:29 22 same thing. So it's -- you know, we don't think any of them 06:53:34 23 had catalogs. 06:53:35 24 THE COURT: What you're doing is thinking without

putting it in context of a piece of litigation. You're

established by the previous orders of the Court beginning with the requirements that contentions, invalidity contentions be stated at a certain time, and then Lawson was given a second bite at the apple and told to restate them, and those orders were put in place in order that everybody would know how the discovery was to proceed, what were the contentions, what are the contentions, what do we then direct the discovery to.

They are not just pro forma requirements of throwing up pieces of paper into the file that contain something about invalidity. They shape -- they are the skeleton of the case to which the flesh and muscles and viscera are attached by discovery, and they mean something.

To the extent that Dr. Shamos's report talks about infringement issues -- I mean invalidity issues or infringement issues beyond what was disclosed, that evidence cannot be brought in. It just simply can't.

When the validity contentions were filed in the second supplement on the issue of anticipation, it was said there were 14 claims that were infringed -- I mean anticipated. J-Con had one. Gateway was three claims. PO Writer was seven claims anticipated, and 542, King 542 anticipated three.

Dr. Shamos says for the first three, J-Con, Gateway, and PO Writer, there were 13 each, and for King 542 there were 11, there were 50. He can't testify to anything but to the 14. That's been clear from the beginning.

7

8

9

06:55:49

06:55:54

06:55:58

06:56:03 10

06:56:08 11

06:56:14 12

06:56:21 13

06:56:29 14

06:56:34 15

06:56:46 16

06:56:49 17

06:56:54 18

06:56:58 19

06:57:07 20

06:57:15 21

06:57:24 22

06:57:29 23

06:57:34 24

06:57:40 25

```
1
09:06:03
            but at least it is irrelevant as to the method -- I mean as to
        2
            the apparatus even in the acknowledgment of the defendant, and
09:06:08
09:06:13
       3
            it is -- it is not cumulative evidence, it being the only
        4
            actual system that shows the RQ in operation in the hands of
09:06:19
       5
            the customer, and the prejudicial aspect, I think, that would
09:06:25
09:06:28
       6
            arise from the missteps that the woman made can be cured by
            just letting the jury see the videotape and see how it works.
09:06:34
09:06:39
       8
            But I'm going to instruct you both to review it carefully, and
       9
            if what we've got is a comedy of errors, it's not going to come
09:06:43
09:06:47 10
            in because that's wasteful of the jury's time. So the motion
09:06:52 11
            will be provisionally denied.
09:06:59 12
                      That leaves me with a few things to do; is that
09:07:02 13
            right? We don't have any more motions to argue; is that right?
09:07:07 14
                      MR. McDONALD: That's correct, Your Honor. Your
09:07:09 15
            Honor, I wonder if I would have leave because I have a
09:07:12 16
            seven o'clock flight.
09:07:13 17
                      THE COURT: Hit the road.
09:07:15 18
                      MR. McDONALD: Thank you.
09:07:17 19
                      THE COURT: You are cutting it close as it is.
09:07:19 20
                      MR. McDONALD: I agree. Thank you, Your Honor.
09:07:24 21
                      THE COURT: All right, Mr. McDonald is gone, but
09:07:27 22
            we're going to go. You all have a time to talk with Judge
09:07:35 23
            Dohnal?
09:07:35 24
                      MR. ROBERTSON: August 19th.
09:07:36 25
                      MR. CARR: Correct, Your Honor.
```

254

```
problems in the case, folks, problems that warrant a serious
9:07:42
              look at whether you're going to settle it or not, and I will
9-07-53
              say -- I wasn't saying this for settlement purposes. I
9:07:58
              genuinely believe that your damages case takes a hit for the
9:08:03
              reasons that I expressed, and I wasn't trying to communicate
9:08:06
              that for purposes of inviting you all to settle, but whereas
9:08:12
              here you have liability problems, and you might make sure you
9:08:16
              communicate this to Mr. McDonald, and you do, I think, have
         10
              some liability problems, and they have damage problems.
9:08:20
                         That usually provides a reasonably efficacious way in
9:08:2
              which to try to reach an accommodation that businesspeople can
9:08:29
              live with. All right? Thank you. We will be in adjournment.
9:08:33
         14
                                (End of proceedings.)
                         I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
              from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
         19
         20
         21
         22
              P. E. Peterson, RPR
                                                       Date
         23
         24
```

THE COURT: August 19th. Both of you have some

9-07-38